

Sexual Assault Panel for Adult Victims of Military Rape

Honored Panel Members,

Thank you for once again reading my material. Once again I have compelling information on why chain of command should not be involved when prosecuting rapists in the Armed services. I should tell you that I have brought this information to people within the Department of Defense and the Department of the Army and only now because of this information I am getting some answers.

My attacker is a convicted felon. I cannot black out his name because it is a screen shot. This is a matter of public information and what USA CID did to me is also public information. I have asked the DOJ to investigate the misconduct by CID because their agent lied under oath. I learned that my attacker served jail in 1999 for 2 days in jail for driving without a license. I also learned that he was pulled over by authorities and they found drugs and the judge in the case gave him prison time in 2000. This happened 4 years before he raped me. The commander he had when he raped me was the same commander he had when he served prison time in Iowa Department of Corrections and she covered it up. You might ask how?

In the ROI I obtained the agent in the case cited that he pulled information from the DCII and cited that no "derogatory information was found on the subject of the victim". This is a lie. Upon further review and investigation I learned that the DCII is connected to various databases that contain criminal information. Which includes NCIS, NCIC, DHS, NBRIS, etc. I brought this information to key people including Col. [REDACTED] who cited that "it does not matter that he served jail time or prison time"; [REDACTED] who cited, "Well if he was a juvenile then his record would have been expunged to well the Army had no way of knowing"; and lastly, Maj [REDACTED] who is an intern with the Deputy SECDEF office said, "We can't do anything about that because it does not matter." All three of these men work for officials that say the culture of the military needs to be changed yet they still let convicted felons serve and allow their agents and commanders to cover it up. The one agent who lied, retired but the commander is still serving in the Iowa National Guard. When does it stop? I have enclosed the screen shots for your viewing.

I made a call to someone that will remain anonymous. S/he cited that there is Army NG regulation for people like this. It is Army NG 136-173 that cites the Army NG should have discharged my attacker in 2000 with an OTH discharge. They did not because they were not impartial then just like they are not impartial now. Clearly a pattern has been established with the Army not executing justice in equal amounts. In a conversation with Col [REDACTED] he brought up about the gyms being in zone 6 where I was in Camp Arifjan. I decided to look into this further and realized that CID used faulty information to charge me with a bogus charge. Let me explain please.

The Kuwaiti's built Arifjan for us during the first Gulf War. There are 6 zones. There is a gym in zone 1 and a gym in zone 6. After reviewing the draft copy of the agent's report of investigation (ROI) I saw that the agent said the witness told him I was at a gym in zone 2 and told her everything that happened. He then proceeded to charge me with false statement without even checking into her story. There was bias in this case and what this agent did proves it. I have requested a FOIA from Army Central Command on

the layout of these zones from 2004. I also have research to back up this statement up. CID never took this case seriously and impeded this investigation the whole time. They are still doing this to victims that are serving in the U.S. Army.

Further analysis found that it was not “Hadji Vodka” at this party but “Iraqi Moonshine” which contained high levels of lead and rat poison. There is no such thing as Hadji Vodka but the agents involved in this case did not test for lead, or poison because this is not part of the protocol issued by the DOD or the Army in forensic rape kits and they did not want to believe the victim which was me. I went to the VA and levels were at 4 IU for Arsenic. CID never pressured any of the witness nor checked into anything I said but just wanted to shove my rape under the rug. Some of the medical problems I have today are linked to the poison and lead found in this moonshine. That is all I have at this time.

Thank you for viewing my evidence and reading this.

The screenshot shows a Windows XP desktop environment. A Google Chrome browser window is open, displaying the Iowa Department of Corrections' offender information page for offender ID 1140468. The page includes a table with personal details and a table with supervision status.

Name	[REDACTED]
Offender Number	1140468
Sex	M
Birth Date	[REDACTED]
Age	37
Location	[REDACTED]
Offense	[REDACTED]
County Of Commitment	[REDACTED]
Commitment Date	[REDACTED]
Duration	[REDACTED]
TDD/SDD *	[REDACTED]

* TDD = Tentative Discharge Date
* SDD = Supervision Discharge Date

Supervision Status	Offense Class	County of Commitment	End Date
Probation	Serious Misdemeanor	polk	03/13/2000

The following is public information pursuant to Section 904.601(1)Iowa Code(1999). While the information is believed to be accurate, the Iowa Department of Corrections makes no warranties, express or implied, as to the accuracy of the information. Further the Iowa Department of Corrections assumes no legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of any of the information provided. Information is updated on a weekly basis, but may change quickly. Finally, due to issues relating to the conversion of existing data, the latest or most complete information may not always be available.

Scan_Doc0008.pdf - Adobe Reader

File Edit View Window Help

2 / 8 130%

Tools Sign Comment

AGENT'S INVESTIGATIVE REPORT

CID Regulation 195-1

0004-04-CID518-0113

PAGE 5 OF 5 PAGES

DETAILS

About 1025, 5 Jan 04, SPC (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(C), 1168th Trans Co, CAKU, provided a sworn statement detailing a conversation he had with SPC (b)(7)(C), the morning of 1 Jan 04. (See Sworn Statement for Details)

About 1030, 5 Jan 05, SPC (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(C), 1168th Trans Co, CAKU, provided a sworn statement wherein he related PVT CLARKE was not unconscious and was actively participating in consensual sex. (See Sworn Statement for Details)

About 1625, 5 Jan 04, this office received the results of a check of the Crime Records Center database, Ft Belvoir, MD, which revealed no derogatory information pertaining to either the subject or the victim.

About 1336, 12 Jan 04, CPT (b)(7)(C), Office of the Staff Judge Advocate, Camp Doha, Kuwait APO AE 09336, opined probable cause did not exist to believe SPC (b)(7)(C) did not commit the offense of Rape. He further opined probable cause existed to believe PVT CLARKE committed the offense of False Official Statement when she provided two sworn statements at this office, which she knew to be false. CPT (b)(7) also opined probable cause exists to believe both SPC (b)(7)(C) and PVT CLARKE committed the offense of Disobeying a Lawful Order when they consumed alcohol at the New Year's Eve party.

///Last Entry///

Export PDF

Create PDF

Adobe PDF Pack

Convert files to PDF and easily combine them with other file types with a paid subscription.

Select File to Convert to PDF:

Select File

Send Files

Store Files

5:38 PM 2/9/2014